

DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH

VOLUME 51, ARTICLE 44, PAGES 1411–1428 PUBLISHED 13 DECEMBER 2024

https://www.demographic-research.org/Volumes/Vol51/44/ DOI: 10.4054/DemRes.2024.51.44

Descriptive Finding

Analyzing regional patterns of mortality data quality and adult mortality for small areas in Brazil, 1980–2010

Everton Lima

Bernardo Lanza Queiroz

Flavio Freire

Marcos Gonzaga

This publication is part of the Special Collection on "Innovations in measuring adult mortality in countries with incomplete civil registration," organized by Guest Editors Ashira Menashe Oren, Nandita Saikia, and Stéphane Helleringer.

© 2024 Lima, Queiroz, Freire & Gonzaga.

This open-access work is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Germany (CC BY 3.0 DE), which permits use, reproduction, and distribution in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are given credit.

See https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/de/legalcode.

Contents

1	Introduction	1412
2	Data and methods	1413
2.1	Death counts and population data	1413
2.2	Adult mortality estimates	1413
2.3	Bivariate maps	1416
3	Results	1416
3.1	Estimates of adult mortality rates (45q15)	1416
3.2	Comparison of adjusted and observed estimates of adult mortality	1417
3.3	Data quality and socioeconomic differentials in adult mortality	1419
4	Discussion	1422
5	Acknowledgments	1423
	References	1424

Analyzing regional patterns of mortality data quality and adult mortality for small areas in Brazil, 1980–2010

Everton Lima¹

Bernardo Lanza Queiroz²

Flavio Freire³

Marcos Gonzaga³

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Brazil's profound regional social inequalities raise concerns about their impact on adult mortality and data quality. Although the quality of mortality data has improved in recent decades, substantial regional disparities in death registration and mortality rates persist.

OBJECTIVE

Our study examines the spatial and temporal trends in death record quality and adult mortality across Brazil's small regions from 1980 to 2010. It assesses whether adult mortality rates are converging or diverging and whether the vital registration system is progressively improving.

METHODS

Utilizing mortality data and census records, we adopt a two-step approach. First, we evaluate data quality and calculate adult mortality estimates across subnational microregions using death distribution methods and TOPALS regression. Second, we employ bivariate choropleth mapping to explore the relationship between adult mortality and socioeconomic factors, measured across 558 microregions and disaggregated by sex.

RESULTS

Our findings highlight regional and temporal evolution of completeness of death count coverage. Results show that social inequality is a key factor driving regional disparities in adult mortality. Additionally, assessing and adjusting for the under-registration of death counts is crucial for understanding the spatial relationship between adult mortality and the distribution of socioeconomic inequality.

¹ Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, Brazil.

² Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG). Email: lanza@cedeplar.ufmg.br.

³ Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte (UFRN), Natal, Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil.

CONTRIBUTION

We propose an approach combining demographic and statistical methods to evaluate data quality and produce adult mortality estimates for countries with limited data. We demonstrate how data quality evolves over time and how it varies by gender and region. This research offers a practical method for assessing data quality in small areas and estimating adult mortality in countries with data limitations, and it provides insights for policymakers aiming to reduce regional mortality disparities.

1. Introduction

In recent decades, Brazil has experienced a significant decline in mortality rates across various demographic groups, including infants, children, and adults (Szwarcwald et al. 2020; Barufi, Haddad, and Paez 2012; Sousa, Hill, and Dal Poz 2010; Queiroz et al. 2020a). This marked improvement in life expectancy at birth, from 1950 to 2020, has resulted in a substantial increase of approximately 25 years. It is important to highlight that this rate of progress exceeds that observed in many developed nations (Palloni and Pinto-Aguirre 2011; França et al. 2017; Alvarez, Aburto, and Canudas-Romo 2020).

Simultaneously, Brazil has experienced a long-term convergence in infant mortality rates, due in part to the reduction in the prevalence of infectious diseases (Barufi, Haddad, and Paez 2012). However, significant disparities in adult mortality persist across various subnational regions (Szwarcwald et al. 2020; Borges 2017; Schmertmann and Gonzaga 2018; Queiroz et al. 2020a). These enduring differences in mortality rates can largely be attributed to persistent inequities in income, as well as social and economic conditions (Fenelon 2013; Couillard et al. 2021; Ezzati et al. 2008; Rau and Schmertmann 2020). Notably, recent trends in life expectancy are increasingly shaped by variations in adult mortality, underscoring the importance of addressing these disparities and obtaining more accurate estimates at the local level.

Brazil has made substantial progress in the registration of death records in recent years. However, significant regional variations in data completeness persist (Diogenes et al. 2022; Queiroz et al. 2020b; Adair and Lopez 2018; Queiroz et al. 2017; Paes 2005), hindering comprehensive analyses of trends and determinants of adult mortality across different regions of the country (Diogenes et al. 2022; Bilal et al. 2019; Queiroz et al. 2020a; 2020b; Baptista and Queiroz 2019a; 2019b). Given the growing demand for studies on adult mortality in smaller areas, alternative approaches to obtaining reliable mortality estimates at the local level become essential. Analyses that do not account for the quality of data over time and across regions may mislead public policy decisions.

In this study, we compare adult mortality levels across 558 harmonized small areas of Brazil from 1980 to 2010, considering alternative approaches to adjusting death registration data. First, we assess the quality of the available data for these small areas

and then generate adult mortality estimates by combining demographic and statistical methodologies. With these estimates, we analyze the association between adult mortality levels and socioeconomic conditions, measured by poverty, across regions.

2. Data and methods

2.1 Death counts and population data

This study utilizes data from four population censuses conducted in Brazil in 1980, 1991, 2000, and 2010. Additionally, we incorporate data from the Ministry of Health's Mortality Information System (SIM in Portuguese). The SIM dataset provides comprehensive information on deaths, including causes of death categorized by age and sex, at the municipal level. Mortality data from 1980 were adjusted for missing age information by proportionally distributing the missing data across age groups (pro rata). To ensure consistency in geographic comparisons over time and to mitigate the effects of changes in municipal boundaries, we aggregated municipalities into comparable small areas, aligned with microregions delineated by the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE in Portuguese). These microregions are defined by the IBGE based on criteria such as geographic proximity and socioeconomic similarities. This approach enables us to track and analyze 558 distinct small areas in Brazil from 1980 to 2010.

2.2 Adult mortality estimates

The primary dependent variable in this analysis is the rate of death between ages 15 and 60, denoted as ${}_{45}q_{15}$, from 1980 to 2010. The use of ${}_{45}q_{15}$ is strategic, as it captures a substantial age range up to 60 years and mitigates challenges associated with mortality estimation at older ages while ensuring comparability with previous studies. This study builds upon the analyses of Queiroz et al. (2020a) and Gonzaga et al. (2024) by examining more finely disaggregated locations over an extended period, providing an in-depth exploration of the regional evolution of the vital registration system, and comparing analytical approaches to mortality differentials in Brazil. The analytical approach closely follows the methodology outlined in Queiroz et al. (2020a) and is described in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Flow chart of methodological steps used in the paper



First, we applied the TOPALS regression method (Gonzaga and Schmertmann, 2016; De Beer, 2012) to estimate smoothed adult mortality (45q15) for single ages. According to Gonzaga and Schmertmann (2016), TOPALS is a relational model that represents the sum of two functions: (1) mortality rates reflecting a standard pattern by age and sex, and (2) a linear parametric function between designated ages (knots) that captures the differences between the standard mortality function and the logarithm of the mortality function of the population of interest. The vector of logarithmic mortality rates for the population of interest is given by:

$$\lambda(\alpha) = \lambda^* + B \alpha \tag{1}$$

Here, λ is a 100 x 1 vector of log mortality rates for a mesoregion, λ^* represents the standard schedule (the national log mortality rate), B is a matrix of constants where each column corresponds to a linear B-spline basis function with knots defined at exact ages (x = 0, 1, 10, 20, 40, 70, 100), and α is a vector of parameters representing offsets from the standard schedule. In Equation (1) the α values represent additive offsets ($\lambda - \lambda^*$) to the log mortality rate schedule at the knots, between which the offsets change linearly with age. For any specific set of death and population data by age, the TOPALS method assumes that deaths are distributed as independent Poisson variables, which allows for the estimation of the α parameters.

Second, we applied death distribution methods (DDM) (Hill, You, and Choi 2009) to assess the completeness of death registrations across 137 mesoregions. A mesoregion is a subdivision within a Brazilian state, encompassing various microregions that share geographic, economic, and social similarities. These methods compare the age distribution of deaths to the age distribution of the population, allowing for the calculation of age-standardized mortality rates for a given period. The three main methods are: general growth balance (GGB) by Hill (1987), synthetic extinct generations (SEG) by Bennett and Horiuchi (1981), and the adjusted SEG by Hill, You, and Choi (2009). The GGB method, a generalization of the Brass balance equation, is applicable to nonstable populations and estimates both death registry coverage and relative census coverage. The SEG method adjusts future deaths using age-specific growth rates, allowing for greater flexibility in the stable population assumption. Hill, You, and Choi (2009) suggest that the SEG method is less sensitive to errors in age and population data but is more affected by migration than the GGB method. Murray et al. (2010), in their evaluation of 234 method variations, found that the SEG method (ages 55-70), the generalized GGB method (ages 40-70), and a combination of methods (ages 50-70) performed the best. Hill, You, and Choi (2009) recommend using a broader age range for GGB (ages 5–65) in combination with a narrower range for SEG (ages 50–70) for optimal results. We used the DDM R-package to estimate the levels of under-registration of death counts and to adjust mortality levels in each mesoregion. All estimates were generated using the combined GGB–SEG method, with age ranges automatically selected by the package.

Lastly, we adopt a simplifying assumption that all microregions within each mesoregion exhibit homogeneity in terms of death count completeness, thereby obviating the need for variability assumptions. This approach enables the use of mesoregion underregistration correction factors to adjust death counts and to yield adjusted adult mortality rates for all 558 microregions. Although we attempted to evaluate death count registration completeness at the municipal level across more than 5,500 municipalities, the assumptions underlying the methods were violated, leading to inconsistent estimates.

2.3 Bivariate maps

We employ bivariate choropleth mapping to investigate the spatial association between regional socioeconomic characteristics and adult mortality levels. These maps simultaneously display two variables and provide estimates of the degree and spatial pattern of cross-correlation between them (Baptista and Queiroz 2019b; 2022). Additional results and the syntax for replicating the analysis are available in the repository. In this paper, we present an analysis relating poverty levels and adult mortality. We performed a similar analysis using an inequality index and unemployment rates but decided to focus on poverty levels, which illustrate how this analytical approach can enhance the understanding of these issues and support the development of effective policies for assessing data quality and adult mortality.

3. Results

3.1 Estimates of adult mortality rates (45q15)

Table 1 provides aggregated estimates of adult mortality and the completeness of death count coverage across the five regions of Brazil. Small-area estimates can be accessed in the repository (https://github.com/blanza/DataQualityMortalitySmallArea). Throughout the analyzed period, male adult mortality consistently surpasses female mortality in all regions. When considering the country as a whole, the 45q15 for females declined from 0.121 in 1980 to 0.104 in 2010. In contrast, male adult mortality remained relatively stable over the period of analysis, at approximately 0.200, largely due to persistently high levels of deaths from external causes (França et al. 2017; Queiroz et al. 2020a). Notably, significant regional variations exist, with mortality decreasing in the Southeast and South but increasing in the Northeast and Midwest. In the North, the average 45q15 remained relatively constant. Regarding the completeness of death count coverage, we observed an overall improvement for the country, though large regional disparities persisted over time. The results also reveal differences in data quality between males and females.

However, the large-area analysis obscures substantial regional differences, which are explored later in the paper.

Region Female Male 1980–1991 1991–2000 2000–2010 1980–1991 1991–2000 2000–2010 Brazil 0.121 0.112 0.104 0.210 0.208 0.199 Mean 0.121 0.112 0.104 0.210 0.208 0.199 Maximum 0.425 0.402 0.265 0.677 0.512 0.318 Total of areas 548 558 558 568 558 558 Coverage of death counts 0.906 0.923 0.939 0.909 0.925 0.950 North Mean 0.125 0.105 0.102 0.207 0.184 0.182 Minimum 0.027 0.031 0.022 0.057 0.512 0.313 Total of areas 57 64 64 57 64 64 Coverage of death counts 0.772 0.820 0.863 0.777 0.816 0.872 Northeast Mean 0.096 0.102 <th></th> <th colspan="8">Adult mortality and coverage of death counts</th>		Adult mortality and coverage of death counts							
1980–1991 1991–2000 2000–2010 1980–1991 1991–2000 2000–2010 Brazil Mean 0.121 0.112 0.104 0.210 0.028 0.199 Minimum 0.017 0.027 0.022 0.023 0.073 0.099 Maximum 0.425 0.402 0.265 0.677 0.512 0.318 Total of areas 548 558 558 548 558 558 Coverage of death counts 0.906 0.923 0.939 0.909 0.925 0.950 North Mean 0.125 0.105 0.102 0.207 0.184 0.182 Minimum 0.027 0.031 0.022 0.052 0.073 0.099 Maximum 0.0425 0.402 0.265 0.677 0.512 0.313 Total of areas 57 64 64 57 64 64 Coverage of death counts 0.772 0.820 0.863 0.777 0.816 0.87	Region	Female Male							
Brazil Unified mean 0.121 0.112 0.104 0.210 0.208 0.199 Minimum 0.017 0.027 0.022 0.023 0.073 0.099 Maximum 0.425 0.402 0.225 0.677 0.512 0.318 Total of areas 548 558 558 548 558 558 Coverage of death counts 0.906 0.923 0.939 0.909 0.925 0.950 North Mean 0.125 0.105 0.102 0.207 0.184 0.182 Minimum 0.027 0.031 0.022 0.052 0.073 0.099 Maximum 0.425 0.402 0.266 0.677 0.512 0.313 Total of areas 57 64 64 57 64 64 Coverage of death counts 0.772 0.820 0.863 0.777 0.816 0.872 Northeast Mean 0.020 0.27 0.036 0.023	-	1980-1991	1991-2000	2000-2010	1980-1991	1991-2000	2000-2010		
Mean 0.121 0.112 0.104 0.210 0.208 0.199 Minimum 0.017 0.022 0.023 0.073 0.099 Maximum 0.425 0.402 0.265 0.677 0.512 0.318 Total of areas 548 558 558 548 556 556 Coverage of death counts 0.906 0.923 0.939 0.909 0.925 0.950 North 0.073 0.099 Maximum 0.425 0.402 0.265 0.677 0.512 0.313 Total of areas 57 64 64 57 64 64 57 64 64 64 677 0.816 0.872 Northeast 0.220 0.863 0.777 0.816 0.872 Northeast 0.219 0.222 0.160 0.409 0.353 0.317 Total of areas 0.816	Brazil								
Minimum 0.017 0.027 0.022 0.023 0.073 0.099 Maximum 0.425 0.402 0.265 0.677 0.512 0.318 Total of areas 548 558 558 548 558 558 Coverage of death counts 0.906 0.923 0.939 0.909 0.925 0.950 North 0.027 0.031 0.022 0.052 0.073 0.099 Minimum 0.027 0.031 0.022 0.052 0.073 0.099 Maximum 0.425 0.402 0.265 0.677 0.512 0.313 Total of areas 57 64 64 57 64 64 Coverage of death counts 0.772 0.820 0.863 0.777 0.816 0.872 Northeast 0.102 0.102 0.171 0.185 0.186 Minimum 0.2219 0.222 0.160 0.479	Mean	0.121	0.112	0.104	0.210	0.208	0.199		
Maximum 0.425 0.402 0.265 0.677 0.512 0.318 Total of areas 548 558	Minimum	0.017	0.027	0.022	0.023	0.073	0.099		
Total of areas 548 558 558 568 568 568 Coverage of death counts 0.906 0.923 0.939 0.909 0.925 0.950 North 0.927 0.102 0.207 0.184 0.182 Minimum 0.027 0.031 0.022 0.052 0.073 0.099 Maximum 0.425 0.402 0.265 0.677 0.512 0.313 Total of areas 57 64 64 57 64 64 Coverage of death counts 0.772 0.820 0.863 0.777 0.816 0.872 Northeast 0.020 0.027 0.036 0.023 0.079 0.106 Maximum 0.020 0.027 0.036 0.023 0.317 Total of areas 186 188 188 186 188 188 186 188 188 160 183 182 186<	Maximum	0.425	0.402	0.265	0.677	0.512	0.318		
Coverage of death counts 0.906 0.923 0.939 0.909 0.925 0.950 North Mean 0.125 0.105 0.102 0.027 0.184 0.182 Minimum 0.027 0.031 0.022 0.052 0.073 0.099 Maximum 0.425 0.402 0.265 0.677 0.512 0.313 Total of areas 57 64 64 57 64 64 Coverage of death counts 0.772 0.820 0.863 0.777 0.816 0.872 Northeast Mean 0.096 0.102 0.102 0.171 0.185 0.186 Maximum 0.201 0.222 0.160 0.409 0.353 0.317 Total of areas 186 188 188 186 188 188 Coverage of death counts 0.816 0.856 0.898 0.822 0.869 0.336 Midwest Mean 0.107 0.113 0.106 0.183 <td>Total of areas</td> <td>548</td> <td>558</td> <td>558</td> <td>548</td> <td>558</td> <td>558</td>	Total of areas	548	558	558	548	558	558		
North Mean 0.125 0.105 0.102 0.207 0.184 0.182 Minimum 0.027 0.031 0.022 0.052 0.073 0.099 Maximum 0.425 0.402 0.265 0.677 0.512 0.313 Total of areas 57 64 64 57 64 64 Coverage of death counts 0.772 0.820 0.863 0.777 0.816 0.872 Northeast	Coverage of death counts	0.906	0.923	0.939	0.909	0.925	0.950		
Mean 0.125 0.105 0.102 0.207 0.184 0.182 Minimum 0.027 0.031 0.022 0.052 0.073 0.099 Maximum 0.425 0.402 0.265 0.677 0.512 0.313 Total of areas 57 64 64 57 64 64 Coverage of death counts 0.772 0.820 0.863 0.777 0.816 0.872 Northeast Mean 0.096 0.102 0.102 0.171 0.185 0.186 Minimum 0.202 0.027 0.036 0.023 0.079 0.106 Maximum 0.219 0.222 0.160 0.409 0.353 0.317 Total of areas 186 188 188 188 188 188 188 188 188 188 100 133 0.213 0.213 0.213 0.213 0.213 0.213 0.213 0.213 0.213 0.213 0.213 0.2	North								
Minimum 0.027 0.031 0.022 0.052 0.073 0.099 Maximum 0.425 0.402 0.265 0.677 0.512 0.313 Total of areas 57 64 64 57 64 64 Coverage of death counts 0.772 0.820 0.863 0.777 0.816 0.872 Northeast Mean 0.096 0.102 0.102 0.171 0.185 0.186 Maximum 0.020 0.027 0.036 0.023 0.079 0.106 Maximum 0.219 0.222 0.160 0.409 0.353 0.317 Total of areas 186 188 188 186 188 188 Coverage of death counts 0.816 0.856 0.898 0.822 0.869 0.936 Mikimum 0.107 0.113 0.106 0.183 0.213 0.176 Maximum 0.163 0.148 0.129 0.289 0.372 0.267	Mean	0.125	0.105	0.102	0.207	0.184	0.182		
Maximum 0.425 0.402 0.265 0.677 0.512 0.313 Total of areas 57 64 64 57 64 64 Coverage of death counts 0.772 0.820 0.863 0.777 0.816 0.872 Northeast Mean 0.096 0.102 0.102 0.171 0.185 0.186 Maximum 0.020 0.027 0.036 0.023 0.079 0.106 Maximum 0.219 0.222 0.160 0.409 0.353 0.317 Total of areas 186 188 188 186 188 188 Coverage of death counts 0.816 0.856 0.898 0.822 0.869 0.936 Midwest Mean 0.107 0.113 0.106 0.183 0.213 0.211 Minimum 0.017 0.060 0.079 0.023 0.132 0.176 Maximum 0.163 0.148 0.129 0.289 0.372 <td< td=""><td>Minimum</td><td>0.027</td><td>0.031</td><td>0.022</td><td>0.052</td><td>0.073</td><td>0.099</td></td<>	Minimum	0.027	0.031	0.022	0.052	0.073	0.099		
Total of areas 57 64 64 57 64 64 Coverage of death counts 0.772 0.820 0.863 0.777 0.816 0.872 Northeast Mean 0.096 0.102 0.102 0.171 0.185 0.186 Minimum 0.020 0.027 0.036 0.023 0.079 0.106 Maximum 0.219 0.222 0.160 0.409 0.353 0.317 Total of areas 186 188 188 186 188 188 Coverage of death counts 0.816 0.856 0.898 0.822 0.869 0.936 Midwest Mean 0.107 0.113 0.106 0.183 0.213 0.211 Mean 0.107 0.148 0.129 0.289 0.372 0.267 Total of areas 51 52 52 51 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	Maximum	0.425	0.402	0.265	0.677	0.512	0.313		
Coverage of death counts 0.772 0.820 0.863 0.777 0.816 0.872 Northeast Mean 0.096 0.102 0.102 0.171 0.185 0.186 Minimum 0.020 0.027 0.036 0.023 0.079 0.106 Maximum 0.219 0.222 0.160 0.409 0.353 0.317 Total of areas 186 188 188 186 188 183 0.213 0.211 0.107 0.113 0.106 0.183 0.213 0.211 0.176 Maximum 0.107 0.113 0.106 0.193 0.229 0.372 0.2267 Total of areas 51 52 52 51 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	Total of areas	57	64	64	57	64	64		
Northeast Mean 0.096 0.102 0.171 0.185 0.186 Minimum 0.020 0.027 0.036 0.023 0.079 0.106 Maximum 0.219 0.222 0.160 0.409 0.353 0.317 Total of areas 186 188 188 186 188 180 188 180 183 0.213 0.211 0.176 0.160 0.179 0.023 0.132 0.176 Minimum 0.163 0.148 0.129 0.239 0.372 0.267 Total of areas 51 52 52 51 52 52 South 0.933 0.957 0.966 0.937	Coverage of death counts	0.772	0.820	0.863	0.777	0.816	0.872		
Mean 0.096 0.102 0.171 0.185 0.186 Minimum 0.020 0.027 0.036 0.023 0.079 0.106 Maximum 0.219 0.222 0.160 0.409 0.353 0.317 Total of areas 186 188 188 186 188 189 183 0.213 0.211 0.213 0.211 0.213 0.211 0.176 0.160 0.142 0.228 0.372 0.2267 Total of areas 51 52 52 51 52 52 Coverage of death counts 0.933 0.957 0.966 0.937 </td <td>Northeast</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Northeast								
Minimum 0.020 0.027 0.036 0.023 0.079 0.106 Maximum 0.219 0.222 0.160 0.409 0.353 0.317 Total of areas 186 188 188 186 188 188 186 188 188 0.822 0.869 0.936 Midwest Mean 0.107 0.113 0.106 0.183 0.213 0.211 Minimum 0.017 0.060 0.079 0.023 0.132 0.176 Maximum 0.0163 0.148 0.129 0.289 0.372 0.267 Total of areas 51 52 52 51 52 52 Coverage of death counts 0.933 0.957 0.966 0.937 0.939 0.942 South Mean 0.131 0.116 0.103 0.230 0.217 0.200 Minimum 0.085 0.080 0.699 0.131 0.160 0.142 Maximum 0.	Mean	0.096	0 102	0 102	0 171	0 185	0 186		
Maximum 0.219 0.222 0.160 0.409 0.353 0.317 Total of areas 186 188 188 186 188 188 186 188 188 186 188 188 186 188 188 186 188 188 186 188 188 186 188 188 186 188 188 186 188 188 186 188 188 186 188 188 186 188 188 0.213 0.213 0.211 Minimum 0.017 0.060 0.079 0.023 0.132 0.217 0.267 Maximum 0.163 0.148 0.129 0.289 0.372 0.267 0.267 0.267 0.275 0.245 0.260 0.933 0.957 0.966 0.937 0.939 0.942 South Nean 0.131 0.1160 0.142 0.280 0.217 0.200 Minimum 0.085 0.080 0.069 0.131 <td< td=""><td>Minimum</td><td>0.020</td><td>0.027</td><td>0.036</td><td>0.023</td><td>0.079</td><td>0.106</td></td<>	Minimum	0.020	0.027	0.036	0.023	0.079	0.106		
Total of areas 186 188 186 188 186 188 188 186 188 188 186 188 188 186 188 188 186 188 121 121 121 121 121	Maximum	0.219	0.222	0.160	0.409	0.353	0.317		
Note and a death counts Note Note Note Note Midwest Mean 0.107 0.113 0.106 0.183 0.213 0.211 Minimum 0.017 0.060 0.079 0.023 0.132 0.176 Maximum 0.163 0.148 0.129 0.289 0.372 0.267 Total of areas 51 52 52 51 52 52 Coverage of death counts 0.933 0.957 0.966 0.937 0.939 0.942 South Mean 0.131 0.116 0.103 0.230 0.217 0.200 Minimum 0.085 0.080 0.669 0.131 0.160 0.149 Maximum 0.176 0.160 0.142 0.287 0.275 0.245 Total of areas 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 <td< td=""><td>Total of areas</td><td>186</td><td>188</td><td>188</td><td>186</td><td>188</td><td>188</td></td<>	Total of areas	186	188	188	186	188	188		
Midwest Mean 0.107 0.113 0.106 0.183 0.213 0.211 Minimum 0.017 0.060 0.079 0.023 0.132 0.176 Maximum 0.163 0.148 0.129 0.289 0.372 0.267 Total of areas 51 52 52 51 52 52 Coverage of death counts 0.933 0.957 0.966 0.937 0.939 0.942 South	Coverage of death counts	0.816	0.856	0.898	0.822	0.869	0.936		
Mean 0.107 0.113 0.106 0.183 0.213 0.211 Minimum 0.017 0.060 0.079 0.023 0.132 0.176 Maximum 0.163 0.148 0.129 0.289 0.372 0.267 Total of areas 51 52 52 51 52 52 Coverage of death counts 0.933 0.957 0.966 0.937 0.939 0.942 South	Midwest								
Minimum 0.017 0.060 0.073 0.123 0.132 0.176 Maximum 0.163 0.148 0.129 0.289 0.372 0.267 Total of areas 51 52 52 51 52 52 Coverage of death counts 0.933 0.957 0.966 0.937 0.939 0.942 South	Mean	0 107	0 113	0 106	0 183	0.213	0 211		
Maximum 0.163 0.148 0.129 0.289 0.372 0.267 Total of areas 51 52 52 51 52 52 Coverage of death counts 0.933 0.957 0.966 0.937 0.939 0.942 South	Minimum	0.017	0.060	0.079	0.023	0.132	0.176		
Total of areas 51 52 52 51 52 52 Coverage of death counts 0.933 0.957 0.966 0.937 0.939 0.942 South	Maximum	0.163	0 148	0.129	0.289	0.372	0.267		
Note of death counts 0.933 0.957 0.966 0.937 0.939 0.942 Coverage of death counts 0.933 0.957 0.966 0.937 0.939 0.942 South Mean 0.131 0.116 0.103 0.230 0.217 0.200 Minimum 0.085 0.080 0.069 0.131 0.160 0.149 Maximum 0.176 0.160 0.142 0.287 0.275 0.245 Total of areas 94 94 94 94 94 94 0.992 0.992 Southeast Nean 0.146 0.124 0.109 0.254 0.239 0.218 Minimum 0.090 0.086 0.081 0.150 0.154 0.173 Maximum 0.201 0.163 0.141 0.337 0.349 0.318 Total of areas 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160	Total of areas	51	52	52	51	52	52		
South Nean 0.131 0.116 0.103 0.230 0.217 0.200 Minimum 0.085 0.080 0.069 0.131 0.160 0.149 Maximum 0.176 0.160 0.142 0.287 0.275 0.245 Total of areas 94 94 94 94 94 94 Coverage of death counts 0.999 0.997 0.993 0.999 0.992 Southeast Maximum 0.146 0.124 0.109 0.254 0.239 0.218 Minimum 0.090 0.086 0.081 0.150 0.154 0.173 Maximum 0.201 0.163 0.141 0.337 0.349 0.318 Total of areas 160 160 160 160 160 160	Coverage of death counts	0.933	0.957	0.966	0.937	0.939	0.942		
Mean 0.131 0.116 0.103 0.230 0.217 0.200 Minimum 0.085 0.080 0.069 0.131 0.160 0.149 Maximum 0.176 0.160 0.142 0.287 0.275 0.245 Total of areas 94 94 94 94 94 94 Coverage of death counts 0.999 0.997 0.993 0.999 0.996 0.992 Southeast Mean 0.146 0.124 0.109 0.254 0.239 0.218 Minimum 0.090 0.086 0.081 0.150 0.154 0.173 Maximum 0.201 0.163 0.141 0.337 0.349 0.318 Total of areas 160 160 160 160 160 160	South								
Moininum 0.105 0.106 0.105 0.106 0.120 0.111 0.120 Maximum 0.176 0.160 0.142 0.287 0.275 0.245 Total of areas 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 0.99 0.992 Southeast 0.146 0.124 0.109 0.254 0.239 0.218 Minimum 0.090 0.086 0.081 0.150 0.154 0.173 Maximum 0.201 0.163 0.141 0.337 0.349 0.318 Total of areas 160 160 160 160 160 160 160	Mean	0 131	0 116	0 103	0.230	0 217	0.200		
Maximum 0.176 0.160 0.142 0.287 0.275 0.245 Total of areas 94	Minimum	0.085	0.080	0.069	0.131	0.160	0.149		
Maximum 0.110 0.100 0.110 0.110 0.110 Total of areas 94	Maximum	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.287	0.275	0.245		
Total of death counts 0.999 0.997 0.993 0.999 0.996 0.992 Southeast Mean 0.146 0.124 0.109 0.254 0.239 0.218 Minimum 0.090 0.086 0.081 0.150 0.154 0.173 Maximum 0.201 0.163 0.141 0.337 0.349 0.318 Total of areas 160 160 160 160 160 160 Coverage of death counts 0.994 0.984 0.997 0.977 0.994 0.977	Total of areas	94	94	94	94	94	94		
Southeast Nean 0.146 0.124 0.109 0.254 0.239 0.218 Minimum 0.090 0.086 0.081 0.150 0.154 0.173 Maximum 0.201 0.163 0.141 0.337 0.349 0.318 Total of areas 160 160 160 160 160 0.02977	Coverage of death counts	0.999	0.997	0.993	0.999	0.996	0.992		
Mean 0.146 0.124 0.109 0.254 0.239 0.218 Minimum 0.090 0.086 0.081 0.150 0.154 0.173 Maximum 0.201 0.163 0.141 0.337 0.349 0.318 Total of areas 160 160 160 160 160 0.60 Coverage of death counts 0.994 0.988 0.976 0.994 0.987 0.977	Southeast								
Minimum 0.090 0.086 0.081 0.150 0.154 0.173 Maximum 0.201 0.163 0.141 0.337 0.349 0.318 Total of areas 160 160 160 160 160 160 Coverage of death counts 0.994 0.988 0.976 0.994 0.977	Mean	0 146	0 124	0 109	0 254	0 239	0 218		
Maximum 0.300 0.000 0.101 0.104 0.104 0.173 Maximum 0.201 0.163 0.141 0.337 0.349 0.318 Total of areas 160 160 160 160 160 160 Coverage of death counts 0.994 0.988 0.978 0.994 0.987 0.977	Minimum	0.090	0.086	0.081	0.150	0 154	0 173		
Total of areas 160	Maximum	0.201	0 163	0.141	0.337	0.349	0.318		
Coverage of death counts 0.994 0.988 0.978 0.994 0.987 0.977	Total of areas	160	160	160	160	160	160		
	Coverage of death counts	0.994	0.988	0.978	0.994	0.987	0.977		

Table 1:	Adult probability	y of death	(45q15) by se	ex, region/Brazil	(1980 - 2010)
----------	-------------------	------------	---------------	-------------------	---------------

Source: Ministry of Health; population censuses, IBGE.

3.2 Comparison of adjusted and observed estimates of adult mortality

Figure 2 presents a comparison of adult mortality (45q15) for females using both observed data and estimates derived from our approach. The first row displays estimates adjusted using our proposed method, while the second row provides results adjusted for missing

age information. Each colored point represents a microregion, with the color indicating the macroregion to which it belongs. The y-axis shows adjusted mortality estimates, while the x-axis shows the rate of death based on unadjusted observed data. As previously discussed, Brazil exhibits significant regional heterogeneity in both economic and social terms.

Figure 2: Comparison of adult mortality – observed and adjusted data, females, 1980 to 2010, by microregion



Source: Ministry of Health; population censuses, IBGE.

Several notable and significant outcomes can be observed in Figure 2. The results indicate a continuous decline in female adult mortality over time. More importantly, they also demonstrate an improvement in data quality both over time and across regions. In the 2000–2010 period, most points are closer to the 45-degree line compared to 1980–1991, reflecting improvement in data. Throughout the analysis, regions with poorer data quality are concentrated in the North and Northeast, also characterized by lower socioeconomic indicators. It is important to emphasize that mortality data from the South and Southeast have maintained a high level of quality over the past 30 years, as the relationship between observed and adjusted data consistently aligns with the 45-degree line, indicating reliable registration practices.

Figure 3 presents the same information for males. A decline in adult mortality levels is also observed, though at a much slower pace compared to females, alongside improvements in data quality. The higher levels of male adult mortality are largely attributed to deaths from violence and traffic accidents (Aburto et al. 2021; Calazans, Guimarães, and Nepomuceno 2023).

In the case of males, we observed greater improvements compared to females, with very few regions deviating from the 45-degree line. In all areas, there was an increase in the completeness of death count coverage. Our findings align with other studies in Latin America (Castanheira and Monteiro da Silva 2022; Peralta et al. 2019) that highlight a pattern of sex differentials in the evolution of death count coverage across Brazilian regions, with larger disparities observed in the North and Northeast. It should be noted that the coverage of female death counts was of better quality than that of male death counts in the first two periods. However, improvements in male death counts in more recent periods have reversed this situation. We argue that much of the difference may be attributed to the composition of causes of death. A significant proportion of male deaths between the ages of 15 and 60 are due to external causes, which require official investigation and, consequently, lead to more accurate registration (Lima et al. 2021).



Figure 3: Comparison of adult mortality – observed and adjusted data, males, 1980 to 2010, by microregion

Source: Ministry of Health; population censuses, IBGE.

3.3 Data quality and socioeconomic differentials in adult mortality

Using bivariate maps, Figure 4 illustrates the relationship between female adult mortality rates and the proportion of the population living below the poverty line. Bivariate mapping techniques utilize a combination of selected colors to effectively communicate the spatial information of the map. The legend grid cells incorporate varying shades to depict the relationship between adult mortality rates and poverty levels across different

microregions. The x-axis employs a gradient of red hues, ranging from light to deep red, representing low to high proportions of the population living in poverty. Similarly, the y-axis uses shades of blue, progressing from light to dark, to indicate a range from low to high levels of adult mortality. The diagonal cells illustrate the intersection of these two variables. For example, a dark purple cell signifies an area with high levels of both adult mortality and poverty, whereas a light gray cell indicates a region with low levels of both indicators.

Figure 4: Female adult mortality (45q15) and poverty levels in Brazil, 1980– 2010; adjusted and observed data



Source: Ministry of Health; population censuses, IBGE.

To categorize the results appropriately, we computed 1/3 quantiles for both variables. As a result, microregions were assigned to the corresponding class based on their levels of mortality and poverty. We chose to focus on poverty due to the extensive literature on the relationship between these two variables (Ichihara et al. 2022; Fritzell et al. 2015; Soares 2007a; 2007b). Similar analyses were conducted for other socioeconomic variables (not shown here due to the scope of the work), but the primary focus remains on poverty. Additional analysis, available in the GitHub repository, uses other socioeconomic variables, such as unemployment rates.

The most significant finding from the bivariate maps is the importance of assessing the quality of mortality data across regions and applying appropriate methods to adjust mortality levels. The second row of maps displays the association without accounting for or correcting the completeness of death count coverage. Based on these unadjusted results, one might incorrectly conclude that regions with high poverty levels in Brazil, throughout the analysis period, are associated with lower mortality levels. However, the analysis conducted with adjusted data reveals a different outcome. We observe that many regions with higher poverty levels are, in fact, associated with higher female mortality rates – particularly in the North and Northeast.

Between 1980 and 1991, the highest levels of adult mortality for both sexes were concentrated in the North and Southeast, as well as along the coastal areas of the Northeast. Despite fluctuations in the female-to-male adult mortality ratio over time, there is a discernible trend toward the convergence of 45q15 across the country. Although certain areas in Brazil continue to exhibit mortality hot spots, adult mortality levels are gradually becoming more uniform across microregions. This trend is particularly pronounced among females.

Figure 5 shows the same relation for males. The results are very similar to what is observed for females. When we adjusted estimates for the level of completeness of death count coverage, in some regions that had low mortality in high poverty areas, the relation changed. That is, regions with higher mortality are in areas with higher poverty levels. However, since data quality for females was worse than for males during the period of analysis, the impact of the adjustments is greater for females.

Figure 5: Male adult mortality (45q15) and poverty levels in Brazil, 1980–2010, adjusted and observed data



Source: Ministry of Health; population censuses, IBGE.

4. Discussion

This study employs a replicable methodology to assess data quality in mortality records and generate adult mortality estimates for small areas. This methodology can be applied in countries lacking robust civil registration and vital statistics (CRVS) systems, as well as in countries that inquired about household deaths in recent censuses or surveys. We utilize a combination of traditional demographic methods (DDM) and the TOPALS regression model to evaluate data quality and produce adult mortality estimates for all microregions of Brazil from 1980 to 2010. Our findings highlight the gender and regional disparities in death count registration, a pattern also observed in other countries, such as Peru and Ecuador, where the completeness of death records is correlated with income levels and inequality (Peralta et al. 2019; Castanheira and Monteiro da Silva 2022).

Our results also identify significant improvements in mortality data quality over time and across regions of the country. The analysis comparing observed data with adjusted data reveals a reduction in the dispersion of mortality levels in both datasets. In the most recent period, 2000–2010, most points lie closely around the 45-degree line. However, the pace of improvement for males is faster than that for females, indicating a notable difference in the quality of registration by sex, as observed in other countries (Peralta et al. 2019; Castanheira and Monteiro da Silva 2022).

Our results add to previous research that examined data quality for states and other regions of the country. For instance, Diogenes et al. (2022) explored and analyzed death records from 2010 utilizing three data sources: the Mortality Information System, the Civil Registry, and the 2010 Demographic Census. They found a strong association between the socioeconomic characteristics of municipalities and the information source reporting a higher number of events. The study indicates that the 2010 census captured more deaths than the Mortality Information System and Civil Registry, particularly in less developed areas of the country.

Furthermore, our study contributes to the argument that social, economic, and demographic factors can account for the spatial distribution of adult mortality. To our knowledge, and due to data limitations, most research on mortality differentials across regions has primarily focused on developed, high-income countries (Fenelon 2013; Vierboom, Preston, and Hendi 2019; Rau and Schmertmann 2020). There has been a dearth of such analyses in the context of countries with incomplete or deficient data systems (Queiroz et al. 2020a; Peralta et al. 2019; Castanheira and Monteiro-Silva 2022; Baptista, Queiroz, and Pinheiro 2021). Nevertheless, these analyses are pivotal for the formulation of effective health policies aimed at reducing mortality levels and enhancing population health (Bilal et al. 2021).

We argue that external causes of death may underlie this spatial dependence, as they are the primary causes of death among young males in Brazil. Historically concentrated in the Southeast, these deaths have progressively shifted toward the Northeast, particularly in coastal areas. This finding highlights the dynamic nature of male adult mortality across the regions of the country (Aburto et al. 2021; Baptista, Queiroz, and Pinheiro 2021; Ingram and Marchesini 2017; Moura et al. 2015). The observed decline in female adult mortality across all regions from 1980 to 2010 is a critical factor in explaining the widening male–female gap in life expectancy at birth. This trend underscores the varying impact of causes of death on changes in life expectancy across regions of Brazil and aligns with trends observed in more developed countries (Kibele 2012; Rau and Schmertmann 2020; Vierboom, Preston, and Hendi 2019; Couillard et al. 2021).

In conclusion, the descriptive findings illuminate regional disparities in data quality within the country and their evolution over recent decades. Future research agendas should address questions related to how health measures are adapting to an aging population and changes in population behavior. Ultimately, this study emphasizes that despite consistent improvement in the quality of Brazilian death records over the past few decades, there remains significant potential for enhancing vital registration in certain areas of the country. While methodological advances have been made and various estimates are available in the literature, these improvements have limitations and cannot substitute for a high-quality records, including the accurate documentation of causes of death, to yield more reliable mortality estimates and enhance our understanding of trends and differentials in various developing countries (Silva 2022).

5. Acknowledgments

Everton Lima, Bernardo L. Queiroz, Flavio Freire, and Marcos R. Gonzaga gratefully acknowledge support from the Brazilian National Research and Development Council (303411-2022-8, 303928/2022-0, 311317/2021-9, 309661/2021-8, respectively). Gonzaga acknowledges financial support from CNPq research project 406432/2021-0. We gratefully acknowledge Mariana Peres Nascimento for her excellent research assistance, and we thank the reviewers and editors for their very helpful comments and suggestions

References

- Aburto, J.M., Calazans, J., Queiroz, B.L., Luhar, S., and Canudas-Romo, V. (2021). Uneven state distribution of homicides in Brazil and their effect on life expectancy, 2000–2015: A cross-sectional mortality study. *BMJ Open* 11(2): e044706. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044706.
- Adair, T. and Lopez, A.D. (2018). Estimating the completeness of death registration: An empirical method. *PloS One* 13(5): e0197047. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0197047.
- Alvarez, J.-A., Aburto, J.M., and Canudas-Romo, V. (2020). Latin American convergence and divergence towards the mortality profiles of developed countries. *Population Studies* 74(1): 75–92. doi:10.1080/00324728.2019.1614 651.
- Baptista, E.A. and Queiroz, B.L. (2019). Spatial analysis of mortality by cardiovascular disease in the adult population: A study for Brazilian micro-regions between 1996 and 2015. Spatial Demography 7: 83–101. doi:10.1007/s40980-019-00050-6.
- Baptista, E.A. and Queiroz, B.L. (2019). The relation between cardiovascular mortality and development. *Demographic Research* 41(51): 1437–1452. doi:10.4054/Dem Res.2019.41.51.
- Baptista, E.A. and Queiroz, B.L. (2022). Spatial analysis of cardiovascular mortality and associated factors around the world. *BMC Public Health* 22(1556). doi:10.1186/ s12889-022-13955-7.
- Baptista, E.A., Queiroz, B.L., and Pinheiro, P. C. (2021). Regional distribution of causes of death for small areas in Brazil, 1998–2017. *Frontiers in Public Health* 9: 424. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2021.601980.
- Barufi, A.M., Haddad, E., and Paez, A. (2012). Infant mortality in Brazil, 1980–2000: A spatial panel data analysis. *BMC Public Health* 12: 181. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-12-181.
- Bennett, N.G. and Horiuchi, S. (1981). Estimating the completeness of death registration in a closed population. *Population Index* 47(2): 207–221. doi:10.2307/2736447.
- Bilal, U., Alazraqui, M., Caiaffa, W.T., Lopez-Olmedo, N., Martinez-Folgar, K., Miranda, J.J., and Diez-Roux, A.V. (2019). Inequalities in life expectancy in six large Latin American cities from the SALURBAL study: An ecological analysis. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 3(12): e503–e510. doi:10.1016/S2542-5196(19)30235-9.

- Bilal, U., Hessel, P., Perez-Ferrer, C., Michael, Y.L., Alfaro, T., Tenorio-Mucha, J., and Diez-Roux, A.V. (2021). Life expectancy and mortality in 363 cities of Latin America. *Nature Medicine* 27(3): 463–470. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-01214-4.
- Borges, G.M. (2017). Health transition in Brazil: Regional variations and divergence/convergence in mortality. *Cadernos SaúdePública* 33(8). doi:10.1590/ 0102-311x00080316.
- Calazans, J.A., Guimarães, R., and Nepomuceno, M.R. (2023). Diferenciais regionais da mortalidade no Brasil: Contribuição dos grupos etários e de causas de óbito sobre a variação da esperança de vida e da dispersão da idade à morte entre 2008 e 2018. *Revista Brasileira de Estudos de População* 40: e0244. doi:10.20947/S0102-3098a0244.
- Castanheira, H.C. and Monteiro da Silva, J.H.C. (2022). Examining sex differences in the completeness of Peruvian CRVS data and adult mortality estimates. *Genus* 78(3). doi:10.1186/s41118-021-00151-5.
- Couillard, B.K., Foote, C.L., Gandhi, K., Meara, E., and Skinner, J. (2021). Rising geographic disparities in US mortality. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 35(4): 123–146. doi:10.1257/jep.35.4.123.
- De Beer, J. (2012). Smoothing and projecting age-specific probabilities of death by TOPALS. *Demographic Research* 27(20): 543–592. doi:10.4054/DemRes.2012. 27.20.
- Diógenes, V.H.D., Pinto Júnior, E.P., Gonzaga, M.R., Queiroz, B.L., Lima, E.E., Costa, L.C.C.D., and Ichihara, M.Y.T. (2022). Differentials in death count records by databases in Brazil in 2010. *Revista de Saude Oublica* 56: 92. doi:10.11606/ s1518-8787.2022056004282.
- Ezzati, M., Friedman, A.B., Kulkarni, S.C., and Murray, C.J.L. (2008). The reversal of fortunes: Trends in county mortality and cross-county mortality disparities in the United States. *PloS Medicine* 5(4). doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0050066.
- Fenelon, A. (2013). Geographic divergence in mortality in the United States. *Population and Development Review* 39(4): 611–634. doi:10.1111/j.1728-4457.2013.006 30.x.
- França, E.B., de Azeredo Passos, V.M., Malta, D.C., Duncan, B.B., Ribeiro, A.L.P., Guimaraes, M.D., and Camargos, P. (2017). Cause-specific mortality for 249 causes in Brazil and states during 1990–2015: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2015. *Population Health Metrics* 15(39). doi:10.1186/ s12963-017-0156-y.

- Fritzell, J., Rehnberg, J., Bacchus Hertzman, J., and Blomgren, J. (2015). Absolute or relative? A comparative analysis of the relationship between poverty and mortality. *International Journal of Public Health* 60: 101–110. doi:10.1007/ s00038-014-0614-2.
- Gonzaga, M.R. and Schmertmann, C.P. (2016). Estimating age-and sex-specific mortality rates for small areas with TOPALS regression: an application to Brazil in 2010. *Revista Brasileira de Estudos de População* 33(3): 629–652. doi:10.20947/S0102-30982016c0009.
- Gonzaga, M.R., Queiroz, B.L., Freire, F.H., Monteiro-da-Silva, J.H., Lima, E.E., Silva-Júnior, W.P., and Leyland, A.H. (2024). Estimation and probabilistic projection of age-and sex-specific mortality rates across Brazilian municipalities between 2010 and 2030. *Population Health Metrics* 22(9). doi:10.1186/s12963-024-00329-x.
- Hill, K. (1987). Estimating census and death registration completeness. *Asian and Pacific Population Forum* 1(3).
- Hill, K., You, D., and Choi, Y. (2009). Death distribution methods for estimating adult mortality: Sensitivity analysis with simulated data errors. *Demographic Research* 21(9): 235–254. doi:10.4054/DemRes.2009.21.9.
- Ichihara, M.Y., Ferreira, A.J., Teixeira, C.S., Alves, F.J.O., Rocha, A.S., Diógenes, V.H.D., Ramos, D.O., Pinto Júnior, E.P., Flores-Ortiz, R., Rameh, L., da Costa, L.C.C., Gonzaga, M.R., Lima, E.E.C., Dundas, R., Leyland, A., and Barreto, M.L. (2022). Mortality inequalities measured by socioeconomic indicators in Brazil: A scoping review. *Revista de Saude Publica* 56: 85. doi:10.11606/s1518-8787.2022056004178.
- Ingram, M.C. and Marchesini da Costa, M. (2017). A spatial analysis of homicide across Brazil's municipalities. *Homicide Studies* 21(2): 87–110. doi:10.1177/108876791 66666603.
- Kibele, E.U. (2012). *Regional mortality differences in Germany*. Springer Science and Business Media. doi:10.1007/978-94-007-4432-5.
- Lima, E.E., Gonzaga, M.R., Freire, F.H.D.A., and Queiroz, B.L. (2021). Alternative information sources on deaths in Brazil in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. Ottawa: International Development Research Centre. https://idl-bnc-idrc. dspacedirect.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/4aadfd35-7c8c-4b15-ad86-2e66d0ce d2b2/content.
- Moura, E.C., Gomes, R., Falcão, M.T.C., Schwarz, E., Never, A.C.M., and Santos, W. (2015). Gender inequalities in external cause mortality in Brazil, 2010. *Ciênc Saúde Coletiva* 20(3): 779–788. doi:10.1590/1413-81232015203.11172014.

- Murray, C.J., Rajaratnam, J.K., Marcus, J., Laakso, T., and Lopez, A.D. (2010). What can we conclude from death registration? Improved methods for evaluating completeness. *PLoS Medicine* 7(4): e1000262. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.10002 62.
- Paes, N.A. (2005). Avaliação da cobertura dos registros de óbitos dos estados brasileiros em2000. *Revista de Saúde Pública* 39(6): 882–890. doi:10.1590/S0034-8910 2005000600003.
- Palloni, A. and Pinto-Aguirre, G. (2011) Adult mortality in Latin America and the Caribbean. In: Rogers, R.G. and Crimmins, E.M. (eds.). *International handbook* of adult mortality. Amsterdam: Springer: 101–132. doi:10.1007/978-90-481-9996-9_5.
- Peralta, A., Benach, J., Borrell, C., Espinel-Flores, V., Cash-Gibson, L., Queiroz, B.L., and Marí-Dell'Olmo, M. (2019). Evaluation of the mortality registry in Ecuador (2001–2013) – social and geographical inequalities in completeness and quality. *Population Health Metrics* 17(3). doi:10.1186/s12963-019-0183-y.
- Queiroz, B.L., Freire, F.H.M.D.A., Gonzaga, M.R., and Lima, E.E.C.D. (2017). Completeness of death-count coverage and adult mortality (45q15) for Brazilian states from 1980 to 2010. *Revista Brasileira de Epidemiologia* 20: 21–33. doi:10.1590/1980-5497201700050003.
- Queiroz, B.L., Freire, F., Lima, E.E.C.D., Gonzaga, M.R., and Baptista, E.A. (2020b). Patterns of geographic variation of mortality by causes of death for small areas in Brazil, 2010. In: Jivetti, B. and Hoque, M.N. (eds.). *Population Change and Public Policy*. Cham: Springer: 383–404. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-57069-9_20.
- Queiroz, B.L., Lima, E.E.C., Freire, F.H.M.A., and Gonzago, M.R. (2020a). Temporal and spatial trends of adult mortality in small areas of Brazil, 1980–2010. *Genus* 76(36). doi:10.1186/s41118-020-00105-3.
- Rau, R. and Schmertmann, C.P. (2020). District-level life expectancy in Germany. *Deutsches Ärzteblatt International* 117: 493–499. doi:10.3238/arztebl.2020.0493.
- Schmertmann, C.P. and Gonzaga, M.R. (2018). Bayesian estimation of age-specific mortality and life expectancy for small areas with defective vital records. *Demography* 55(4): 1363–1388. doi:10.1007/s13524-018-0695-2.
- Silva, R. (2022). Population perspectives and demographic methods to strengthen CRVS systems: Introduction. *Genus* 78(1): 8. doi:10.1186/s41118-022-00156-8.
- Soares, R.R. (2007a). On the determinants of mortality reductions in the developing world. *Population and Development Review* 33(2): 247–287. doi:10.1111/j.1728-4457.2007.00169.x.

- Soares, R.R. (2007b). Health and the evolution of welfare across Brazilian municipalities. *Journal of Development Economics* 84(2): 590–608. doi:10.1016/ j.jdeveco.2007.02.002.
- Sousa, A., Hill, K., and Dal Poz, M.R. (2010). Sub-national assessment of inequality trends in neonatal and child mortality in Brazil. *International Journal for Equity in Health* 9(21). doi:10.1186/1475-9276-9-21.
- Szwarcwald, C.L., de Almeida, W.D.S., Teixeira, R.A., França, E.B., de Miranda, M.J., and Malta, D.C. (2020). Inequalities in infant mortality in Brazil at subnational levels in Brazil, 1990 to 2015. *Population Health Metrics* 18(Supp. 1): 4. doi:10.1186/s12963-020-00208-1.
- Vierboom, Y.C., Preston, S.H., and Hendi, A.S. (2019). Rising geographic inequality in mortality in the United States. SSM-Population Health 9(100478). doi:10.1016/j. ssmph.2019.100478.